

Proposal Title :	TERRIGAL/PICKETTS VALLE Recreation to R2 Low Density		Valley Road - Rezoning from RE1 Public	ic
Proposal Summary :	Valley from: - RE1 Public Recreation to R2	Low Density Residential neight of buildings and flo	or space ratio development standards;	
PP Number :	PP_2015_GOSFO_009_00	Dop File No :	15/11136	

## **Proposal Details**

Date Planning Proposal Receiv	03-Aug-2015 ved :		LGA covered :	Gosford	
Region :	Hunter		RPA :	Gosford City Council	
State Electorate	TERRIGAL		Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning				
Location Detail	s				
Street :	31 Belar Avenue				
Suburb :	Terrigal	City :		Postcode :	
Land Parcel :	Part of Lot 4 DP37914				
Street :	131 Picketts Valley Road				
Suburb :	Picketts Valley	City :		Postcode :	
Land Parcel :	Part of Lot 1 DP381971				
DoP Planning	Officer Contact Details	;			
Contact Name :	Glenn Hornal				
Contact Number	0243485009				
Contact Email :	glenn.hornal@planni	ng.nsw.gov.a	iu		
RPA Contact	Details				
Contact Name :	Brian McCourt				
Contact Number	0243258260				
Contact Email :	brian.mccourt@gosf	ord.nsw.gov.a	au		
DoP Project M	anager Contact Details	;			

Contact Name :

Contact Number :

Contact Email :

## Land Release Data

Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Central Coast Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :	0.60	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Residential
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created	0
The NSW Government	Yes		

Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :

If No, comment :

Have there been No meetings or communications with registered lobbyists?:

If Yes, comment :

## Supporting notes

Internal Supporting Notes :

External Supporting Notes :

## Adequacy Assessment

Statement o	f the o	bjectives	- s55(2	2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment : Adequate.

## Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

is an explanation of provi	sions provided / tes
Comment :	The proposal should identify the planning instrument to be amended (i.e. Gosford Local Environmental Plan 2014).
	Council seeks to amend zoning, lot size, height of buildings, floor space ratio and additional permitted uses maps however Council has not specifically identified the standards it will be applying and this should be clarified for public exhibition.
	The land identified as 'Kings Ridge' on the Additional Permitted Uses Map is subject to cl 7.8 Development at Terrigal and Picketts Valley in Gosford LEP 2014. The extension of the R2 zone and subsequent inclusion in the APU Map will result in additional local provisions applying to the proposed R2 zoned land (i.e additional considerations for home businesses in dwellings of between 10m2 and 60m2). Council should identify this in the 'explanation of provisions'.

The strip of land proposed to be zoned to R2 only applies to part of 2 lots as shown on Council's site identification plan (Lot 1 DP381971 and Lot 4 DP37914). Council should include the property descriptions of the affected lots in the 'explanation of provisions'.

The planning proposal includes incorrect references to 'Kings Park' residential estate and should be corrected to 'Kings Ridge'.

Subject to the above matters the 'explanation of provisions' is adequate.

### Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

- b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :
- \* May need the Director General's agreement

3.1 Residential Zones
3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
6.3 Site Specific Provisions

Is the Director General's agreement required? Unknown

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?	SEPP No 19—Bushland in Urban Areas
	SEPP No 44—Koala Habitat Protection
	SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land
	SEPP No 71—Coastal Protection

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

S117 Directions

4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection The land is identified as being bushfire prone land. Consistency with the direction will need to be determined following consultation with NSW Rural Fire Service.

5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies

The proposal seeks a minor expansion of the R2 zone to accommodate a fire trail at the southern end of Kings Ridge residential estate. Council has advised it will result in the loss of a small amount of scenically significant recreation zoned land and is inconsistent with Action 4.6 in the CCRS which seeks to ensure LEPs are to appropriately zone of high landscape value. Given a large proportion of RE1 land is being retained with higher landscape values the Secretary could agree the inconsistency is of minor significance.

6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes

The planning proposal seeks to reduce RE1 land and, as required by the direction, requires the approval of the RPA and the Secretary. Council does not own the land however the land is to be dedicated to Council through a VPA and given Council supports the rezoning to residential it is considered to have given its approval to reduce the RE1 land. Given the reduction of RE1 land is to accommodate a fire trail to be managed by the community association and approximately 25 hectares of RE1 land will still be dedicated to Council at the Kings Ridge site the Secretary could agree to approve the reduction of RE1 land on the basis there is sufficient RE1 land in the vicinity of the Kings Ridge site.

#### SEPPs

Council's consideration identified the proposal is not inconsistent with the applicable SEPPs and is considered adequate.

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Unknown

If No, explain :

## Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

The mapping provided identifies the lots affected by the rezoning and an existing zoning map. Council should include a proposed land zoning map and identify the specific development standards it will be applying for public exhibition.

## Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? No

Comment :

Council was requested to include community consultation requirements in the planning proposal but has failed to do so. The proposal is considered a minor local matter and a minimum 14 day exhibition period is considered sufficient. Council should identify community consultation requirements in Part 5 of the planning proposal prior to public exhibition.

### **Additional Director General's requirements**

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? Yes

If Yes, reasons :

TIMELINE Council anticipates completion of the draft LEP by February 2016 (approximately 6 months). This is supported.

## DELEGATIONS

Council has not advised whether it seeks delegations to make the plan. Given the proposal is a minor spot rezoning Council should be given delegation to make the plan.

### Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

### Proposal Assessment

Principal	LEP:
-----------	------

Due Date :

Comments inThe planning proposal seeks to amend Gosford LEP 2014.relation to PrincipalLEP :

## Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	The planning proposal is not the result of a strategic study or report.
	Council has advised the rezoning to R2 will be consistent with land zoning in Kings Ridge Residential Estate and the extent of land covered by the community association.
	The extension of the R2 zone is a thin strip between 10m and 15m in width to accommodate a fire trail and will result in the loss of approximately 6000m2 of RE1 land. The remaining RE1 land at Kings Ridge (25 hectares) is to be dedicated to Council via a VPA.
	The previous planning proposal which rezoned the Kings Ridge site (previously known as Parkside) was not supported by OEH as it did not maintain or improve biodiversity. Council, and ultimately the Minister's delegate, supported the previous rezoning on the basis that the economic benefits outweighed environmental impacts. The proportion of RE1 land to be rezoned is relatively minor in relation to the land to be dedicated to Council

strategic planning framework :	•				
	development pote residential zoned represents a mind	ential at the Kings Ridge site by in land to be managed by the comm or encroachment into the RE1 land and dedicated to Council at the Ki	cluding the fire trail with nunity association. The re d and will still provide he	in the ezoning ousing and 25	
Environmental social economic impacts :	however will not h ecological comm	- ed the proposal will result in the nave a significant impact upon thr unities or the biodiversity of the a be rezoned is located clear of an	reatened species, endan rea. Council's vegetation	gered 1 mapping	
Assessment Proces	55				
Proposal type :	Minor	Community Consu Period :	Itation 14 Days		
Timeframe to make	6 months	Delegation :	RPA		
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	NSW Rural Fire So	ervice			
Is Public Hearing by the	e PAC required?	No			
(2)(a) Should the matte	r proceed ?	Yes			
If no, provide reasons :					
Resubmission - s56(2)(	b) : <b>No</b>				
If Yes, reasons :					
Identify any additional s	studies, if required. :				
If Other, provide reasor	ns :				
Identify any internal cor	sultations, if required	:			
No internal consultation	on required				
Is the provision and fun	ding of state infrastrue	cture relevant to this plan? No			
If Yes, reasons :					
cuments					
Document File Name		Document	tType Name	Is Public	

ERRIGAL/PICKETTS VALLEY, Belar Ave and Picketts Valley Road - Rezoning from RE1 Jblic Recreation to R2 Low Density Residential				
Site Identification Map.p Council Report and Res		Proposal Proposal	Yes Yes	
anning Team Recomm	nendation	123 C 121	26-26 - 19-1 - 1-	
Preparation of the planning	ng proposal supported at this	stage : Recommended with Conditions	5	
S.117 directions:	3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use a 4.4 Planning for Bushfire I 5.1 Implementation of Reg 6.1 Approval and Referral 6.2 Reserving Land for Pu 6.3 Site Specific Provision	Protection ional Strategies Requirements blic Purposes		
Additional Information :		ould proceed subject to the following:		
	<ul> <li>replace references to 'k</li> <li>include community cor</li> <li>include a proposed land</li> <li>the subject land;</li> <li>identify in the 'explanat</li> <li>Gosford Local Environment</li> <li>identify in the 'explanat</li> <li>(i.e. part of Lot 1 DP38197'</li> <li>identify in the 'explanat</li> <li>floor space ratio and build</li> <li>Residential land; and</li> <li>identify in the 'explanat</li> <li>Picketts Valley will apply t</li> <li>Map at Kings Ridge.</li> <li>2. The Secretary agrees the</li> <li>inconsistent with S117 Dir significance.</li> <li>3. The Secretary agrees to S117 Direction 6.2 Reserved</li> </ul>	tion of provisions' the property descrip 1 and part Lot 4 DP37914); tion of provisions' what development s ing height will apply to the proposed I tion of provisions' that clause 7.8 Develor to the land once it is included in the Act the provisions of the planning prop- ections 5.1 Implementation of Regiona to the reduction of land for public recre- ng Land for Public Purposes. Rural Fire Service is required to addre- ection. complete the plan.	e planning proposal; ite scale to clearly identify ment to be amended is ptions of the affected lots standards for lot size, R2 Low Density elopment at Terrigal and dditional Permitted Uses bosal that are al Strategies is of minor ation purposes under	
Supporting Reasons	*			
Signature:	J.Mog	thin		
Printed Name:	6 PHOPKIN	Date: 13 Aug	unt 2015	